

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
MICHAEL MACK) Case No: DNCW393CR000134-002
Date of Original Judgment: July 7, 1994) USM No: 10759-058
Date of Last Amended Judgment: December 8, 2008) Ross Hall Richardson
Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

■ DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ is reduced to _____

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Original Offense Level: 35 Amended Offense Level: 31

Criminal History Category: III

Original Guideline Range: 210-262 months **Amended Guideline Range:** 135-168 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
 - The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
 - The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.
 - Other (explain): Defendant has earned eight disciplinary actions since being incarcerated in the Bureau of Prisons, including one after this Court granted his last sentence reduction.

* Defendant also sentenced to a consecutive term of 60 months on Count Four.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Upon release from imprisonment, and absent a residential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation Officer.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated July 7, 1994 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: March 7, 2012

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Frank D. Whitney
Frank D. Whitney
United States District Judge